1	Pan-flavivirus analysis reveals that the insect-specific Kamiti River virus produces a
2	new subgenomic RNA and high amounts of 3' UTR-derived siRNAs
3	
4	Benoit Besson ^{a*} , Gijs J. Overheul ^a , Michael T. Wolfinger ^{b,c} Sandra Junglen ^d , Ronald P. van
5	Rij^a #
6	
7	^a Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud Institute for Molecular Life Sciences,
8	Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
9	^b Research Group Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, Faculty of Computer Science,
10	University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.
11	^c Department of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.
12	^d Institute of Virology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Free
13	University, Humboldt-University and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany.
14	
15	Running title: Mosquito RNAi responses to flaviviruses
16	#Correspondence to Ronald P. van Rij, ronald.vanrij@radboudumc.nl
17	*Present address: Benoit Besson, Université de Strasbourg, RNA architecture and reactivity
18	& Insect Models of Innate Immunity, Institut de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, CNRS,
19	Strasbourg, France.
20	Benoit Besson and Gijs J Overheul contributed equally to this work. Author order was
21	determined alphabetically.
22	
23	Word count abstract: 249
24	Word count text: 5165 (excluding references and figure legends)

25 ABSTRACT

26 Flaviviruses subvert the host RNA decay machinery to produce subgenomic flavivirus RNA 27 (sfRNA), products of the 5'-3' exoribonuclease XRN1/Pacman stalling on secondary RNA structures in the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the viral genome. The classical insect-28 29 specific flavivirus (cISF) Kamiti River virus (KRV) has a unique 1.2 kb long 3' UTR, of 30 which only 40% is homologous to its closest family member, cell-fusing agent virus (CFAV). 31 We mapped the 5' end of KRV sfRNAs and found that KRV produces high copy numbers of 32 a long, 1017 nt sfRNA1 and a short, 421 nt sfRNA2, corresponding to two predicted XRN1-33 resistant elements. Furthermore, we identified a new positive and negative sense 1.5 kb 34 subgenomic RNA species that is colinear with the 3' region of the NS5 gene and the viral 3' 35 UTR, which we tentatively named subgenomic cISF RNA (cifRNA). Expression of both 36 sfRNA1 and sfRNA2 was reduced in Pacman deficient Aedes albopictus mosquito cells, 37 while expression of the longer cifRNA was Pacman-independent. Interestingly, a pan-38 Flavivirus small RNA analysis in Aedes albopictus cells revealed that nearly all KRV-derived 39 siRNAs mapped to the 3' UTR region and that these siRNAs are produced in high quantity. 40 3' UTR-biased siRNA production appeared to be conserved in other cISFs, albeit to a lesser 41 extent, whereas siRNAs were evenly distributed across the viral genome of other representatives of the Flavivirus genus. We suggest that cISFs and particularly KRV 42 43 developed a unique mechanism to produce high amounts of siRNA as a decoy of the antiviral 44 RNAi response.

45

46 IMPORTANCE

The *Flavivirus* genus contains diverse mosquito viruses ranging from insect-specific viruses
circulating exclusively in mosquito populations to mosquito-borne viruses that cause disease
in humans and animals. Studying the mechanisms of virus replication and antiviral immunity

50 in mosquitoes is important to understand arbovirus transmission and may inform the 51 development of disease control strategies. In insects, RNA interference (RNAi) provides broad antiviral activity, constituting the main immune response against viruses. Comparing 52 53 the RNAi response across members of the Flavivirus genus, we found that all flaviviruses are 54 targeted by RNAi. However, the insect-specific Kamiti River virus was unique in that small 55 interfering RNAs are highly skewed towards its uniquely long 3' untranslated region. 56 Moreover, we found that Kamiti River virus produces a new subgenomic RNA species in addition to subgenomic flavivirus RNAs previously observed for other flaviviruses. These 57 58 results suggest that mosquito-specific viruses have evolved unique mechanisms for genome 59 replication and immune evasion.

61 INTRODUCTION

62 The genus *Flavivirus* constitutes diverse phylogenetic clades of viruses, found in vertebrates 63 and arthropods including mosquitoes. Mosquito-borne arboviruses are transmitted 64 horizontally between mosquitoes and vertebrates, whereas insect-specific flaviviruses (ISF) 65 are thought to be primarily transmitted vertically and restricted to their arthropod hosts (1, 2). 66 ISFs are further separated into two distinct phylogenetic clades: lineage I or classical ISFs 67 (cISF), a clade that branches at the base of the *Flavivirus* genus, and lineage II or dual-host affiliated ISF (dISF) that forms a separate phylogenetic clade embedded in vector-borne 68 69 clades (3–5). While the healthcare and economic burden of arboviruses is well established 70 (6), ISFs have been proposed as modulators of arbovirus transmission and are being explored 71 for biotechnological applications such as vaccine development (7-9).

72

Flaviviruses have an ~11 kb long, positive-sense genomic RNA ((+)gRNA), which circularizes via long range RNA-RNA interactions between their 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTR) for RNA translation and replication (10, 11). Asymmetric replication is mediated via an antigenomic negative-sense RNA intermediate ((-)gRNA), which serves as a template for replication of the (+)gRNA and is hypothesized to be annealed either to its template and/or to newly synthesized (+)gRNA (12, 13).

Flaviviruses take advantage of the ability of RNA to form regulatory, evolutionarily conserved elements to produce a highly structured subgenomic flavivirus RNA (sfRNA) (14– 17). Formation of sfRNA is regulated by exoribonuclease-resistant RNA (xrRNA) structures in the 3' UTR, which typically encompass three-way junctions (3WJ) or stem-loop (SL) elements that adopt a particular fold, mediated by a pseudo knot (18). The tight and complex structure of xrRNAs stalls the 5'-3' exoribonuclease 1 (XRN1, also referred to as Pacman in mosquitoes) and terminates the degradation of viral RNA (19), resulting in the production of

sfRNA. Flaviviruses may encode multiple xrRNA-like structures (20), each of which can
induce the production of a distinct sfRNA species. While the longest sfRNA generated from
the first xrRNA is generally the most abundant, sfRNA production from individual xrRNAs
may vary between mammalian and mosquito hosts, suggesting viral adaptation to the host
(19, 21–23).

91 It is well established that sfRNA is essential for flavivirus replication and dissemination (15, 92 22, 24, 25), for which several mechanisms have been suggested, in some cases with sfRNA 93 serving as a decoy for the viral genome. For example, sfRNA was shown to inhibit the host 94 RNA decay pathway (26), to control apoptosis (15, 27), to encode a microRNA (28), and to 95 inhibit the mosquito Toll pathway (29). Moreover, sfRNA can be a substrate for small 96 interfering RNA (siRNA) production by Dicer (30) and was proposed to inhibit the RNA 97 interference (RNAi)-based antiviral immune response (26, 31–33), although this was recently 98 disputed (27).

99

Mosquitoes have an RNAi-centered immune response, and deficiency in RNAi leads to increased sensitivity to virus infections (34–37). Viral double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) is cleaved by Dicer-2 into 21 nt viral siRNA duplexes (vsiRNAs), which are loaded into the Argonaute-2-containing RISC complex with the help of RNA-binding proteins Loqs and R2D2 (37, 38). Upon loading the duplexes, one of the strands (passenger strand) is degraded and the remaining guide strand is used by Argonaute-2 to recognize and cleave complementary single-stranded viral RNA.

107 In addition to the siRNA pathway, the PIWI-interacting RNA (piRNA) pathway has been 108 implicated in antiviral defense in mosquitoes (37, 39, 40). In this pathway, viral single-109 stranded RNA is processed into mature 25-30 nt viral piRNAs (vpiRNAs) associating with 110 the PIWI proteins Piwi5 and Ago3, which amplify the piRNA response in a feedforward

mechanism called the ping-pong amplification loop (41–43). While Piwi5 is required for vpiRNA biogenesis in *Aedes aegypti* (41, 42), only *Piwi4* depletion has thus far been shown to affect arbovirus replication (44, 45) and the importance of the piRNA response during acute viral infections remains to be clarified. Yet, endogenous viral elements (EVE) in the genome of *Aedes* mosquitoes give rise to piRNAs that can target cognate viral RNA and reduce viral RNA levels in ovaries (45–48), underlining the antiviral potential of the piRNA pathway.

118

119 Having noted that Kamiti River virus (KRV), a cISF originally identified in Aedes mcintoshi 120 mosquitoes (49), has a particularly long 3' UTR, we set out to characterize KRV subgenomic 121 RNA species. We mapped two main sfRNAs and identified a new Pacman-independent 122 subgenomic RNA, which we refer to as subgenomic cISF RNA (cifRNA). Small RNA 123 sequencing of Aedes mosquito cells infected with mosquito-borne and insect-specific 124 flaviviruses revealed that KRV vsiRNAs predominantly derive from the 3' UTR in an sfRNA 125 independent manner. A similar, but less pronounced trend was observed from two other cISFs, Culex flavivirus (CxFV) and cell-fusing agent virus (CFAV), whereas siRNAs 126 127 mapped across the whole length of the genome for all other flaviviruses tested. We speculate 128 that KRV and likely other cISFs developed a unique mechanism to evade antiviral RNAi.

129 RESULTS

130 KRV has a unique 3' UTR

131 KRV has a 3' UTR of 1208 nt, much longer than in any other member of the Flavivirus 132 genus (median of 486 nt), but also longer that the 3' UTRs of members of the cISF clade 133 (median of 663 nt) (Fig. 1A). Structure predictions suggested that KRV 3' UTR is highly 134 structured, comprising evolutionarily conserved elements, alongside RNA secondary 135 structures that appear to be unique to KRV (Fig. 1B). Our model predicted the signature 136 flavivirus regulatory SL at the 3' end of the genome and corroborated the presence of two 137 cISF xrRNA structures (xrRNA1 and xrRNA2) that are highly conserved between KRV, 138 CFAV and Aedes Flavivirus (AEFV) (50), and not conserved in the more distant Culex 139 Flavivirus and Xishuangbanna Aedes Flavivirus (CxFV and XFV, respectively). Moreover, structure predictions of the KRV 3' UTR suggested the presence of simple and branched 140 141 stem-loop elements, as well as several long hairpins, including the internal 3' stem-loop 142 (i3'SL), previously predicted using a comparative genomics approach (20).

Interestingly, while the 3' terminal 419 nt long sequence of the KRV 3' UTR downstream of xrRNA2 appears to be conserved with other cISFs (20), the 5' terminal 789 nt sequence extending from the stop codon to xrRNA2 appears to be unique to KRV (Fig. B). This 5' sequence of KRV 3' UTR does not seem to share ancestry with AEFV, the cISF with the second longest 3' UTR (Fig. 1A), nor with other flaviviruses, with the exception of xrRNA1 which is highly conserved both in structure and sequence, and was hypothesized to be the result of a self-duplication event (51, 52).

150

151 KRV produces multiple subgenomic RNA species

Given the long KRV 3' UTR and the observation that flavivirus 3' UTRs give rise to sfRNAs, we visualized the RNA species produced during KRV infection of *Aedes albopictus* 154 U4.4 cells by northern blot (Fig. 2A left panel). Two sfRNA (sfRNA1 and sfRNA2) were 155 detected, likely the product of XRN1/Pacman stalling on xrRNA structures. Both sfRNAs 156 were visualized only with probes detecting the (+)RNA, displaying strong signals 157 corresponding to the expected sizes (~1000 and ~400 nt), suggesting that KRV sfRNA1 and 158 sfRNA2 outnumber KRV (+)gRNA, as observed for other flaviviruses as well (15, 27, 30). 159 As expected, the (-)gRNA was more difficult to detect than the (+)gRNA, consistent with its 160 lower abundance. Interestingly, we detected a previously unknown viral RNA species of ~1.5 161 kb, which we tentatively refer to as cifRNA for subgenomic cISF RNA (Fig. 2A left panel). 162 Unlike the sfRNAs, cifRNA could be visualized using probes detecting both the (+) and (-) 163 strands, suggesting that they are produced by different mechanisms. 164 We next aimed to quantify the different KRV RNA species by RT-qPCR (Fig. 2B) and found 165 that for each molecule of gRNA, there was a 2.4-fold increase of cifRNA signal. Taking into 166 account that the primer set detecting cifRNA also detects the gRNA, this would correspond to 167 similar levels of gRNA and cifRNA, consistent with the northern blot results. A 10-fold 168 increase of sfRNA1 and 400-fold increase of sfRNA2 relative to gRNA was observed, 169 confirming the presence and high abundance of the two sfRNAs during KRV infection. 170 We characterized the 5' start site of the subgenomic RNA species using a 5'-3' ligation 171 assay. This analysis confirmed that sfRNA1 and sfRNA2 started immediately upstream of 172 xrRNA1 and xrRNA2, resulting in products of 1017 nt and 421-422 nt, respectively (Fig. 173 2C). The 5'-3' ligation assay also identified a hotspot around nt 9831 of the KRV genome, 174 which was found in 60% of the sequenced clones (Fig. 2D). This hotspot would correspond 175 to a 1545 nt subgenomic RNA, presumably the cifRNA detected by northern blot. Consensus 176 secondary structure modelling of the genomic region neighboring the putative cifRNA start 177 within NS5 in KRV, CFAV, and AEFV suggests the presence of a bulged stem-loop element

178 (Fig. S1). We speculate that this structured RNA could be associated with the production of

179 cifRNA.

180 Pacman-dependent biogenesis of KRV sfRNA

181 To determine whether biogenesis of the subgenomic RNAs is *Pacman*-dependent, we used 182 CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing to create *Pacman* knockout (KO) U4.4 cell lines. Several putative 183 Pacman loci are annotated in the genome of Aedes albopictus, of which AALFPA 065179, 184 AALFPA 057530 and AALFPA 079140 contain the conserved 5'-3' exoribonuclease 185 domain (>98% identity) and AALFPA 052256 only contains the SH3-like domain and is 186 unlikely to encode a functional Pacman nuclease (Fig. S2A). Guide RNAs were designed to 187 introduce frameshift mutations leading to premature stop codons in the 5'-3' exoribonuclease 188 domain. Two Pacman KO U4.4 cell clones were obtained (g3#3 and g2#13), which were 189 compared to a CRISPR control line (CTRL) that was subjected in parallel to the same 190 treatment without functional guide RNA, and to the wildtype (WT) parental U4.4 cell line. 191 Pacman mRNAs containing the 5'-3' exoribonuclease domain were unstable in both Pacman 192 KO U4.4 cell clones (Fig. S2B), likely due to nonsense mediated decay induced by the 193 presence of premature stop codons. KRV replicated to similar levels in Pacman KO cells as 194 in WT and CTRL cells (Fig. S2C).

195 Using northern blotting, we observed lower signal for KRV sfRNA1 and sfRNA2 in KRV 196 infected Pacman KO cells, confirming that their biogenesis is Pacman-dependent (Fig. 2B, 197 bottom right panel). Interestingly, two different ~ 800 nt and ~ 500 nt subgenomic RNAs were 198 identified in Pacman KO cells, which we named sfRNA1' and sfRNA2', likely the products 199 of redundant 5'-3' exoribonucleases stalling on structures downstream of xrRNA1 (53, 54). 200 This is reminiscent of the appearance of other RNA species without loss of sfRNA upon 201 knockdown of XRN1 in human cells (55). The exact 5' start sites of sfRNA1' and sfRNA2' 202 were determined by 5'-3'end ligation to be at nt 10,533 and 10,830 of the KRV genome,

respectively (Fig. 2C). These sites did not correspond to notable predicted structures or RNA
motifs (Fig. 1C, data not shown). In contrast to sfRNAs, cifRNA appeared to be *Pacman*independent, suggesting that it is produced via another mechanism (Fig. 2A, top right panel).
In Zika virus (ZIKV) infected wild-type cells, sfRNA was highly abundant but no cifRNA
was detectable. Moreover, a significant smear appeared *Pacman* KO cells, suggesting
inefficient processing of viral RNA in the absence of Pacman (Fig. S2D).

209

210 RNAi response to flavivirus infection in Aedes mosquito cells

211 Given our observation that KRV produces longer subgenomic RNAs than other flaviviruses 212 and the proposed function of sfRNA as a viral escape mechanism, notably in small RNA 213 silencing pathways (31, 56), we analyzed viral small RNAs produced during KRV infection 214 in comparison to other flaviviruses. Representatives of each major clade of mosquito-215 associated flaviviruses were selected to provide a pan-flavivirus overview of viral siRNA and 216 piRNA profiles in Ae. albopictus U4.4 cells. Culex-associated arbovirus Saint-Louis 217 encephalitis virus (SLEV, isolate MSI-7) and West Nile virus (WNV), Aedes-associated arbovirus dengue virus (DENV serotype 2) and ZIKV, and the dISF Nounané virus (NOUV), 218 219 Culex-associated cISF CxFV and Aedes-associated cISF CFAV and KRV were studied (Fig. 220 3A). Further, the epidemic SLEV-MSI-7 strain was compared to the ancestral strain SLEV-221 Pal as representatives for cosmopolitan and epidemic versus enzootic mosquito-borne 222 flaviviruses (Fig. S4) (57). All tested flaviviruses replicated to similar levels in U4.4 cells 223 with approximately 10^8 RNA copies/µg of total RNA at 72 hours post infection, except for CxFV and CFAV, which reached $3-5.10^6$ copies/µg of total RNA (Fig. S3A). 224

As observed previously (2, 30, 41, 44, 46, 58, 59), size profiles of viral small RNAs are characterized by a prominent peak of 21 nt vsiRNA from both positive- and negative-sense RNA for all tested flaviviruses (Fig. 3B), with a shoulder of predominantly positive-sense

RNAs of 25-30 nt. Given similar viral RNA levels (Fig. S3A), differences in scales suggest
that CxFV and NOUV elicit an overall weaker siRNA response compared to WNV, SLEVMSI-7/Pal, DENV or ZIKV, while KRV elicits the strongest siRNA response recorded, and
CFAV induces a strong siRNA response despite its relatively low RNA levels in cells (Fig.
S3B).

The shoulder of 25-30 nt small RNAs in Figure 3B likely represent vpiRNAs associated with 1U-/10A-bias as observed for several tested flavivirus (Fig. S5), although we have not formally demonstrated PIWI association. Using the gRNA as reference, the flaviviruses differed from each other in the relative amount of 25-30 nt viral small RNAs (Fig. S3C). Notably, viral piRNA over siRNA ratios were relatively low for *Aedes*-associated arboviruses DENV and ZIKV (0.08 and 0.04, respectively), whereas these ratios were higher for NOUV and CFAV (0.77 and 0.85, respectively) (Fig. 3B).

240

241 Asymmetric distribution of vsiRNAs across the KRV genome

242 The distribution of vsiRNAs across the viral genomes (Fig. 4, S4) showed relative uniform 243 mapping of vsiRNAs on both the (+)gRNA and (-)gRNA. A notable exception was KRV, for 244 which most vsiRNAs mapped to the 3' UTR region. This was not due to an artifact, as 245 presentation of the data on a logarithmic scale indicates that siRNAs, albeit extremely lowly 246 abundant, also map to other parts of the genome. This pattern is reminiscent of 3' UTR 247 biased mapping observed for the other cISFs, CxFV, CFAV and AEFV, although the skewed 248 distribution is much more pronounced for KRV (Fig. 4A-B) (2, 46, 60). About 14% of the 249 vsiRNAs of CxFV and CFAV and more than 95% of KRV vsiRNAs derived from their 3' 250 UTRs, in stark contrast to the other flaviviruses for which a median of $\sim 4\%$ of vsiRNA 251 mapped to the 3' UTR (Fig. 4C).

252 The distribution of vsiRNAs on the 3' region of both CFAV and KRV, either expressed as a 253 percentage of the genome-mapping vsiRNAs (Fig. 4D) or as a density of vsiRNA per nt (Fig. 254 4E), was further investigated. The 3' UTR region of CFAV was clearly associated with a higher density of vsiRNAs. In contrast, only a negligible amount of KRV vsiRNA derived 255 256 from its gRNA-specific regions (<2%), 5% of vsiRNAs mapped to the region specific of 257 cifRNA, whereas 92% of KRV vsiRNA mapped to the sfRNA region in the 3' UTR. Thus, 258 the 3' bias characteristic of cISF and especially KRV-derived vsiRNAs correlated highly 259 with the 3' UTR, yet, the vsiRNA distribution indicates that the substrate for vsiRNA 260 production is longer than its sfRNAs (Fig. 4B).

261 In contrast to siRNAs, vpiRNAs mapped to several discrete hotspots on the viral (+)gRNA 262 (Fig. S6, S4). For each virus analyzed, vpiRNAs mapped to different genome coordinates in a 263 manner that was highly reproducible in replicate experiments, in agreement with previous 264 observations (39, 44, 46, 61). It is worth noting that KRV derived piRNAs mapped at several 265 hotspot across the gRNA and were not enriched at the 3' UTR, which indicates that each 266 pathway processes a different substrate. Altogether, our data illustrate a general antiviral 267 siRNA response to flaviviruses and highlight the unique case of cISFs, especially KRV, for 268 which the skewed distribution of vsiRNA towards the 3' UTR suggests a unique siRNA 269 response to the infection.

270

271 Viral small RNA production in *Pacman* knockout cells

It is unlikely that sfRNAs are the substrate for vsiRNA production, given that the KRV 3' biased vsiRNA derived from both (+) and (-) RNA in equimolar quantities, whereas sfRNAs exclusively derive from the (+) RNA strand. To strengthen this conclusion, we explored the involvement of sfRNAs in the 3' bias of KRV vsiRNAs by comparing vsiRNA profiles in U4.4 control cells with *Pacman* KO cells, in which two new KRV subgenomic RNAs were

277	produced (sfRNA1' and 2'; Fig. 2A). We also analyzed ZIKV and found that Pacman
278	knockout did not affect sfRNA production at the resolution of our northern blot, although a
279	smear of larger RNA fragments was observed (Fig. S2D) and may be specific to mosquito
280	cells (55).

281 Interestingly, total siRNA levels were higher in *Pacman* KO cells than in control cells (Fig. 282 5A), perhaps due to the higher processing of mRNA by the siRNA pathway when the RNA 283 decay pathway was impaired. In contrast, vsiRNA levels decreased slightly for KRV and 284 ZIKV in the absence of Pacman (Fig. 5B, S2C). Moreover, in the segment differentiating KRV sfRNA1 from the Pacman KO associated sfRNA1' and 2' (nt 10361-10533), no 285 286 difference in vsiRNA distribution was observed (Fig. 5C, 5E). Similarly, no major 287 differences were observed for vsiRNA profiles of ZIKV between Pacman KO and control 288 cells (Fig. 5D, 5F). These results further strengthen the conclusion that KRV 3' biased 289 vsiRNAs are not produced from its sfRNA species.

290 DISCUSSION

291 Within the *Flavivirus* genus, cISFs constitute a unique clade of viruses that evolved 292 independently, only infecting invertebrate hosts in which they are not associated with known 293 symptoms (62). As such, cISFs represent a prime resource to better understand viral infection 294 and antiviral immunity in mosquitoes. In this study, we explored the potential role of the 295 exceptionally long and unique 3' UTR of KRV. We found that KRV produces high quantities 296 of two sfRNAs as well as a putative new subgenomic RNA referred as cifRNA. In a pan-297 flavivirus small RNA analysis, we found that vsiRNAs generally mapped across the viral 298 genome for most mosquito-specific and mosquito-borne viruses, while there was a strong 299 vsiRNA bias toward the 3' UTR of KRV, the production of which was independent of 300 sfRNAs.

301

302 Unique RNAi response toward classical insect specific flaviviruses is sfRNA-303 independent

304 RNAi is a cornerstone of mosquito immunity comparable to the importance of the interferon 305 response in mammalian systems, as its deficiency leads to increased sensitivity to viral 306 infections (35, 63-65). Our pan-flavivirus analysis strengthens previous observations in 307 Anopheles (66), Culex (58, 67) and Aedes (41, 63) that mosquito RNAi raises a broad and 308 uniform antiviral response against all assessed mosquito-borne flaviviruses. Yet, cISFs seem 309 to have evolved to produce a unique RNAi response with vsiRNAs biased towards the 3' 310 UTR of the viral genome, which was particularly strong for KRV but also detectable for 311 CxFV, CFAV, and previously for AEFV (2). Interestingly, we did not observe a 3' vsiRNA 312 bias for the dISF NOUV or for the sylvatic SLEV-Pal (57), indicating that the biased vsiRNA 313 production is not required for a mosquito restricted transmission cycle.

314 The homogeneous distribution across the genome and the absence of a strand bias of 315 vsiRNAs is consistent with processing of flaviviruses dsRNA formed by (+) and (-) gRNA 316 hybrids (35). The 3' vsiRNA bias of KRV and other cISFs suggest a correlation with viral 317 RNA species produced specifically by cISFs, which remain to be elucidated. A 3' vsiRNA 318 bias has previously been suggested to be related to sfRNA and RNA structure of the region 319 (2), but our data do not support such hypothesis. First, KRV vsiRNAs derive equally from 320 sfRNA1 or sfRNA2 regions, while more vsiRNAs would be expected toward the 3' end due 321 to the high abundance of both sfRNA1 and sfRNA2 (22, 27, 30). Second, vsiRNA patterns 322 are not affected in *Pacman*-knockout cells, whereas sfRNA production is affected in those 323 cells. Third, the vsiRNA 3' bias starts upstream of the 3' UTR and the sfRNAs, and, fourth, 324 both (+) and (-) sense vsiRNA are present at equimolar levels, whereas sfRNA is a (+) sense 325 RNA. Thus, the mechanism underlying the 3' bias of vsiRNAs remains to be understood and 326 may be multi-factorial. Perhaps the double-stranded cifRNA is a substrate of vsiRNA 327 biogenesis, but other hypotheses should be considered as well. For example, sfRNAs could 328 bind the 5' end of (-)gRNA as a competitive regulator of RNA replication (68–70), which 329 would generate a double-stranded Dicer substrate.

330

331 KRV produces a putative novel subgenomic RNA

As ISFs infect only insect hosts, they evolved to adapt to a single organism compared to vector-borne flaviviruses and present specific features such as the *fairly interesting Flavivirus ORF* derived from a ribosomal frameshift in the NS2A-NS2B coding sequence (71). Here, based on northern blot analyses and end-to-end ligation, we propose that KRV and possibly other cISFs encode a previously unknown subgenomic RNA species, which we named subgenomic cifRNA. The presence of both (+) and (-) sense cifRNA at similar levels with gRNA suggests that cifRNA biogenesis involved negative strand synthesis by the NS5 polymerase (12). Different models have been described for the formation of subgenomic RNA through an (-) RNA intermediate, including long range RNA-RNA interactions as for members of the order *Nidovirales* (72–74), premature termination of replication or internal promoters as for tombusviruses and alphaviruses (75, 76). If similar mechanisms are responsible for cifRNA production remains to be investigated. The evolutionary conserved, predicted RNA structure in the immediate proximity of the 5' end cifRNA would be an interesting candidate to investigate as a potential regulator of cifRNA production.

The generation of cifRNA raises questions about its possible function(s) in cISF replication and vertical transmission in mosquito populations (77, 78). It could potentially be used as a template for new unidentified proteins: the longest predicted ORF could produce a ~10 kDa peptide in frame with the C-terminal region of NS5, shorter than its last functional RdRp domain. Alternatively, cifRNA could serve as a regulator of viral RNA replication, for example by sequestering NS5 or other proteins required for viral genome replication.

352

353 Conclusion

As part of the constant arms race between viruses and their hosts (79), cISFs and especially KRV have evolved unique ways to maintain themselves in mosquito populations. KRV's strikingly long 3' UTR representing 10% of its total gRNA, combined with the expression of two highly abundant sfRNAs, a subgenomic cifRNA species, as well as the strong 3' bias of vsiRNAs makes KRV an intriguing model to study the biology of cISFs and the mechanisms of mosquito antiviral immunity.

361 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

362 We thank Pascal Miesen for preliminary analysis of small RNA sequencing data. We thank 363 Andrea Gamarnik (Fundación Instituto Leloir, Buenos Aires, Argentina) for advice on the 5'-364 3' ligation method. We thank Beate Kümmerer (University of Bonn) for providing DENV2 365 strain 16681 and M. Niedrig (Robert-Koch-Institute, Berlin) for providing WNV strain NY-366 99. CFAV (isolate Rio Piedras), CxFV (isolate Uganda08), KRV (isolate SR-75) and ZIKV 367 isolate H/PF/2013 were provided by Xavier de Lamballerie (Aix Marseille Université) 368 through the European Virus Archive (EVAg), funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 369 programme. This study was financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft 370 (DFG) within Priority Programme SPP1596 [grant number JU 2857/1-1 and JU2857/1-2 to 371 SJ and grant number VA 827/1-1 and RI 2381/1-2 to RPvR] and by a European Research 372 Council Consolidator Grant under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme 373 [grant number ERC CoG 615680 to RPvR]. Small RNA sequencing was performed by the 374 GenomEast platform, a member of the "France Génomique" consortium (ANR-10-INBS-375 0009).

376 MATERIAL AND METHODS

377 Cells and viruses

378 Aedes albopictus C6/36 cells (ECACC General Cell Collection, #89051705) and U4.4 cells

379 (kindly provided by G.P. Pijlman, Wageningen University, the Netherlands) were cultured at

- 380 25°C in Leibovitz L15 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal calf
- 381 serum (Sigma), 2% tryptose phosphate broth solution (Sigma), 1x MEM non-essential amino

acids (Gibco), and 50 U/ml penicillin and 50 µg/ml streptomycin (Gibco).

- 383 CFAV (isolate Rio Piedras), CxFV (isolate Uganda08), KRV (isolate SR-75) and ZIKV
- (isolate H/PF/2013) were obtained from the European Virus Archive. DENV2 (strain 16681)
- 385 was provided by Beate Kümmerer, University of Bonn. SLEV (strain MSI-7) was obtained
- 386 from the National Collection of Pathogenic Viruses, Porton Down, Salisbury, United
- 387 Kingdom and WNV (strain NY-99) was kindly provided by M. Niedrig, Robert-Koch-
- 388 Institute, Berlin, Germany. SLEV (Palenque) and NOUV (isolate B3) were previously

characterized (57, 80). Reference sequences are listed in Table S1.

390

391 Plasmids

Plasmids used as standard to normalize the qPCR data were obtained by blunt end ligation of the flavivirus qPCR products into pGEM-3Z (Promega), using SmaI (NEB) and T4 DNA ligase (Roche). For KRV RNA species quantification, plasmid pUC57-KRV-9000-11375 containing part of NS5 gene and the 3' UTR of KRV (nt 9000-11375) was synthesized by GenScript.

The pAc-Cas9-AalbU6.2 plasmid was generated by replacing the *D. melanogaster U6* promoter in the pAc-sgRNA-Cas9 (gifted by Ji-Long Liu, Addgene plasmid #49330) (81) with the *Ae. albopictus U6.2* promoter for AALFPA_045636 and by introducing XbaI restriction sites using In-Fusion cloning (Takara) on 4 fragments amplified by the primers in

Table S2, according to the manufacturer's instructions. sgRNA sequences targeting *Pacman*were cloned directly after the U6 promoter using SapI restriction, followed by ligation using
T4 DNA ligase (Roche) and annealed and phosphorylated complementary oligos (Table S2),
replacing the 5'-GGAAGAGCGAGCTCTTCC-3' sequence that was used as negative control
for CRISPR/Cas9 knockouts.

406

407 CRISPR/Cas9

408 To obtain the CRISPR control (CTRL) and *Pacman*-knockouts, U4.4 cells were transfected 409 with the pAc-Cas9-AalbU6.2 plasmid. It expresses 3xFLAG-tagged Cas9 with N- and C-410 terminal SV40 nuclear localization signals, followed by a viral 2A ribosome self-cleavage 411 site and the puromycin N-acetyltransferase coding sequence, driven by the D. melanogaster 412 Actin5c promoter. U4.4 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate and transfected the next day with 413 500 ng of plasmid, using X-tremeGENE HP transfection reagent (Roche) according to the 414 manufacturer's instructions. At 2 days after transfection, puromycin (InvivoGen) was added 415 to the culture medium at a concentration of 20 μ g/ml and 4 days later, the cells were 416 transferred to a new plate in a 1:2 dilution, again with 20 µg/ml puromycin. The other half of 417 the cells was used for gDNA isolation (Zymo Research #D3024) and PCR (Promega 418 #M7806) using primers flanking the sequence targeted by the sgRNA (Table S2) to assess 419 editing efficiency. Multiple sgRNA constructs were initially constructed and the constructs 420 with the highest editing efficiency were selected, assessed by size heterogeneity of the PCR 421 products on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel. Cells transfected with these sgRNA 422 constructs (g2 and g3) were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of a single cell per well in 423 supplemented L15 medium in the absence of puromycin. After 3 weeks, gDNA was isolated 424 from the single-cell clones, followed by PCR and Sanger sequencing of the targeted Pacman

locus. Based on the sequencing results U4.4 clones g2#13 and g3#3, both containing only

426 out-of-frame deletions in the *Pacman* coding region, were selected for further analyses.

427

428 Small RNA library preparation and analysis

U4.4 cells were seeded at a density of $2x10^6$ cells per well in 6-well plates and infected the 429 430 following day with the designated flaviviruses at an MOI of 0.1. Cells were harvested at 72 431 hours post infection (hpi) in RNA-Solv reagent (Omega Biotek R630-02) for total RNA 432 isolation. 1 µg of RNA was used as input for library preparation using the NEBNext 433 Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB E7560S), according to the 434 manufacturer's recommendations. The libraries were size-selected on 6% polyacrylamide/1x 435 TBE gels, quantified using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer System, and pooled for sequencing 436 on an Illumina HiSeq4000 machine by the GenomEast Platform (Strasbourg, France). Viral 437 small RNA sequences were mapped to the designated genome (Table S1) using Bowtie 438 (Galaxy Tool Version 1.1.2) (82) allowing 1 mismatch. The genome distribution of the viral 439 small RNAs was obtained by plotting the 5' ends of mapping reads on the viral genome 440 sequence. Nucleotide biases were plotted using the WebLogo 3 program (Galaxy Tool 441 Version 0.4). All reads were normalized by library size as reads per million. The small RNA 442 sequencing datasets have been deposited at the Sequence Read Archive under accession 443 number PRJNA830662.

444

445 **Reverse transcription and quantitative PCR**

For RT-qPCR, 1 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed in a 20 μl reaction using Taqman
RT Reagents (ThermoFisher Scientific) with hexamers at 48°C for quantification of viral
RNA copies or SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (ThermoFisher Scientific) with primer
5'-AGCGCATTTATGGTATAGAAAAGA-3' at 60°C for quantification of specific KRV

450	RNA species . Quantitative PCR was performed using the GoTaq qPCR SYBR mastermix
451	(Promega) on a LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche). A standard curve of plasmids
452	containing the corresponding viral sequence was used to convert Ct values to relative viral
453	RNA copy numbers. Pacman mRNA levels were normalized to house-keeping gene
454	ribosomal protein L5. For qPCR primer sequences, see Table S2.

455

456 Northern Blot

5 µg of total RNA was separated on a 1X MOPS, 5% formaldehyde, 0.8% agarose gel for 5 457 458 h, transferred on a Hybond NX nylon membrane (Amersham) and cross-linked in the Gene 459 linker UV chamber (Bio-Rad). Viral RNAs were detected with DNA oligonucleotides (Table S2) end-labelled with $[^{32}P] \gamma$ -adenosine-triphosphate (Perkin Elmer) using T4 polynucleotide 460 461 kinase (Roche). Hybridization to the oligonucleotide probes was performed overnight at 42°C 462 in Ultra-hyb Oligo hybridization buffer (Ambion). Membranes were then washed three times 463 at 42°C with decreasing concentrations of SDS (0.2 to 0.1%) and exposed to X-ray films 464 (Carestream).

465

466 5' to 3' end ligation

The 5' ends of KRV RNA species were determined by 5' to 3' end ligation using a method adapted from (10, 83). C6/36 cells were seeded at a density of 4×10^6 cells per T75 flask and infected the following day with KRV at an MOI of 10. Cells were harvested at 48 hpi and RNA was isolated using RNA-Solv reagent and 10 µg of total RNA was treated with T4 RNA ligase (Epicenter). Ligated RNAs were revere transcribed with Taqman Multiscribe (Applied Biosystem) using random hexamers. The 5'-3' junction region was amplified by PCR using a forward primer at the end of the 3' UTR and a reverse primer in the 5' part of 474 KRV RNA species (Table S2), cloned into pGEM-3Z (Promega) using In-Fusion technology

475 (Takara) and Sanger sequenced by the in-house sequencing facility.

476

477 RNA structure prediction and bioinformatics

478 RNA secondary structure predictions of the KRV, CFAV, CxFV, and XFV 3'UTR regions 479 was performed with the ViennaRNA Package v.2.5.1 (84). Evolutionarily conserved 480 help of the viRNA elements were identified with the GitHub repository 481 (https://github.com/mtw/viRNA), and used as constraints for RNA structure prediction. 482 Locally stable RNA structures were predicted with RNALfold from the ViennaRNA 483 Package, allowing for a maximal base pair span of 100 nt.

A consensus secondary structure of the genomic region upstream of the 3'UTR was computed from a structural LocARNA (85) alignment of the respective regions with RNAalifold from the ViennaRNA Package (86). Consensus xrRNA structure predicted from CFAV SHAPE data (50) was visualized using VARNA-v3.93 (87).

488 Multiple sequence alignments of whole genome and 3' UTR sequences were generated with

489 MAFFT (88), curated with BMGE (89) and a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was

490 built with PhyML (90) using NGPhylogeny.fr (91) using default settings. Phylogenetic trees

491 were visualized on iTOL (92). Percentage identities were determined with Mview (93).

492 Viral reference sequences are listed in Table S3.

493

494 Statistical analysis

495 Graphical representation and statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism v7

496 software. Differences were tested for statistical significance using one- or two-way ANOVA

497 and Fisher's LSD test.

499 LEGENDS

500 Figure 1. KRV has a long and unique 3' UTR

501 (A) Length of the 3' UTR of all members of the *Flavivirus* genus with a RefSeq, a complete 502 coding genome and a 3' UTR of at least 200 nt. Viruses belong to the clades indicated: cISF, 503 classical insect specific flaviviruses; dISF, dual-host affiliated insect specific flaviviruses; 504 MBF, mosquito-borne flaviviruses; NKV, no known vector; TBF, tick-borne flaviviruses. For 505 virus name and accession numbers, see Table S1. (B) Secondary structure prediction of the 506 3'UTR of four insect-specific flaviviruses. Maximum likelihood phylogenic tree and 507 alignment of 3' UTR of listed viruses with conserved regions as described in (94). Branch 508 lengths are proportional to the number of substitutions per site. Evolutionarily conserved 509 RNA elements are highlighted in colour, indicating that elements depicted in the same colour 510 are structurally homologous. Elements without colour represent locally stable RNA structures 511 from single-sequence RNA structure predictions. Exoribonuclease-resistant structures 512 (xrRNA) in KRV, CFAV and AEFV are shown in blue, including reported pseudoknot 513 interactions (16) with sequence regions downstream of the three-way junction structures. 514 Repeat elements a (Ra), and b (Rb) (20) are depicted in olive and orange, respectively. 3' 515 stem-loop elements (3'SL) are shown in dark green. The internal 3'SL element of KRV is 516 predicted to adopt a longer closing stem, which lacks evolutionary support in other viruses. 517 The same applies for the extended closing stems of Ra elements in XFV. Percent nucleotide 518 identities of each virus to KRV are indicated for the region between xrRNA2 and the 3' SL. 519 Lengths of the 3' UTRs are indicated on the right.

520

521 Figure 2. KRV produces high quantities of subgenomic RNA species

522 (A) Northern blot of positive-sense (POS) or negative-sense (NEG) viral RNA in wildtype

523 (WT) or *Pacman* knockout (KO) U4.4 cells mock infected (-) or infected with KRV (+) at an

524 MOI of 0.1 for 72 h. Viral RNA was detected using a pool of oligonucleotide probes for the 525 3' UTR of KRV, between positions 10,361 and 11,375. All images were captured from the same northern blot. For uncropped images, see Fig. S7. (B) Relative RT-qPCR quantification 526 527 of KRV RNA in U4.4 cells infected for 72 h at an MOI of 0.1. Data are expressed relative to 528 gRNA copy numbers and bars indicate means and standard deviation of four replicates. * p <529 0.05; ** p < 0.01 by one-Way ANOVA and Fisher's LSD test. (C) Position of 5' ends of 530 KRV sfRNA1 and sfRNA2 defined by 5' to 3' end ligation and sequencing, displayed on the 531 consensus xrRNA structure predicted from SHAPE data for CFAV xrRNA1, which is 90% 532 identical to KRV xrRNA1 and xrRNA2 (50). (D) Position of 5' ends of KRV cifRNA 533 identified by 5' to 3' end ligation. Positions are indicated relative to the genome sequence.

534

535 Figure 3. Comparison of flavivirus-derived small RNAs in U4.4 cells

536 (A) Maximum likelihood phylogenic tree based on whole genome sequences of the indicated 537 viruses. Branch lengths are proportional to the number of substitutions per site. MBF, 538 mosquito-borne flavivirus; ISF, insect-specific flavivirus. (B) Size profiles of flavivirus-539 derived small RNAs in read per million (RPM) from U4.4 cells infected for 72 h at an MOI 540 of 0.1. The results are the average of two experiments for all flaviviruses, except for CxFV (n 541 = 1), CFAV (n = 3, of which 2 in WT U4.4 cells and 1 in CRISPR CTRL U4.4 cells), and 542 KRV (n = 3). Error bars are the standard deviation between replicates. Positive-sense RNAs 543 are shown in red, negative-sense RNA in blue. Ratios of viral piRNAs over siRNAs are 544 indicated for each virus.

545

546 Figure 4. KRV vsiRNAs are strongly biased towards the 3' UTR

547 (A) Distribution of flavivirus-derived vsiRNAs across the genome of each virus in reads per

548 million (RPM) from U4.4 cells infected at a MOI of 0.1 for 72h. Start and end of the 3' UTRs

549 are indicated by dashed vertical lines. (B) Distribution of KRV vsiRNAs on a logarithmic 550 scale with positions of the cifRNA, 3' UTR, and sfRNAs indicated. (C) Percentage of 551 vsiRNAs mapping to the 3' UTR compared to the whole genome sequence for the indicated 552 flaviviruses. The dashed horizontal line indicates the median of 3' UTR derived vsiRNA 553 from non-cISFs. (D-E) Percentage of vsiRNAs compared to the whole genome sequence (D) 554 and average density of vsiRNAs per nucleotide (E) in the indicated regions of the genome of 555 CFAV (left) or KRV (right). The size of each region is indicated in italic as a percentage of 556 the genome size. For CFAV, a start position of 9800 was assumed for the putative cifRNA. 557 (A-E) The results are the average of two experiments for all flaviviruses, except for CxFV (n 558 = 1) and KRV (n = 3). Error bars are the standard deviation between replicates for each 559 individual nucleotide. Positive-strand RNAs are shown in red, negative-strand RNAs in blue. * p < 0.05; **** p < 0.0001 by two-way ANOVA and Fisher's LSD test. 560

561

562 Figure 5. Pacman knockout does not affect vsiRNA profiles

563 (A-B) Quantification of total siRNAs (A) and sense (+) and antisense (-) vsiRNAs (B) in 564 wild-type (WT), Pacman control (CTRL) or Pacman knockout (KO) U4.4 cell lines infected 565 with ZIKV at an MOI of 0.1 or KRV at an MOI of 10 for 72 h. Viral siRNA levels were 566 normalized to viral gRNA levels. Errors bars represent the standard deviation from two 567 independent cell lines. ns, non-significant; *, p < 0.05 by two-way ANOVA and Fisher's LSD test. (C-D) Distribution of (+) and (-) vsiRNAs across the 3' end of the genomes of 568 569 KRV (C) or ZIKV (D) (from nt 9000 onwards) in control and Pacman KO cells. Top panels 570 show (+) vsiRNAs and lower panels show (-) vsiRNAs. Boundaries of subgenomic RNAs 571 and 3' UTRs are indicated by dashed vertical lines. The results are the average from two 572 independent cell lines. (E-F) Percentage of vsiRNAs derived from the indicated regions 573 compared to the entire gRNA. The size of each region is indicated in italic as a percentage of

the viral genome size. Errors bars represent the standard deviation from two independent cell
lines. (C-F) Blue, (+) vsiRNA; red, (-) vsiRNA; darker, WT and CTRL cells; lighter, *Pacman*-KO cells.

577

578 Supplementary figure 1. Consensus structure prediction of KRV, CFAV and AEFV 579 region neighboring the putative cifRNA start within NS5.

580 A. Structural alignment of homologous regions in KRV, CFAV, and AeFV represented with

the RNA dot-bracket annotation, with unpaired nucleotides as dots and base pairs as brackets.

582 The RNAalifold color scheme overlay indicates observed structure conservation supported by

covariation levels of each base pair. Allowed pairs: A-U, G-C and U-G. Red asterisk,

putative cifRNA start. Gray bar scale, sequence homology. **B.** Predicted consensus secondary
structure.

586

583

587 Supplementary figure 2. Characterization of flavivirus infection of *Pacman*-KO U4.4 588 cells

589 (A) Pacman KO U4.4 cell lines were generated by CRISPR/Cas9 technology, amplified from 590 single clones, and the edited sites in exon 4 were Sanger sequenced. Sequencing identified 591 three small deletions that all induced out-of-frame mutations in the exoribonuclease domain 592 in both Pacman KO clones. Gene structure, conserved domains and primer sets used in (B) 593 are indicated. (B) Relative quantification by RT-qPCR of *Pacman* mRNA in *Pacman* CTRL 594 and KO cells infected with KRV at a MOI of 1 for 96h. Pacman mRNA levels were 595 normalized to house-keeping gene *ribosomal protein L5* and *Pacman* mRNA in WT cells. 596 (C) Quantification of ZIKV or KRV RNA in cells and culture supernatants of the indicated 597 U4.4 cells at 72 h post infection at an MOI of 0.1 or 10. (D) Northern blot of ZIKV RNA in

598 wildtype (WT) and <i>Pacman</i> KO U4.4 cells infected at a MOI of 0.1 (+) or mock infect	ed (-)
---	-------	---

- 599 for 72 h. Probes detecting the 3' UTR sequence were used.
- 600

601 Supplementary figure 3. Relative quantification of gRNA, vsiRNA and vpiRNA.

- 602 (A) Quantification of viral gRNA copies, (B) total vsiRNA over gRNA copy ratios, and (C)
- 603 (+) vpiRNA over gRNA copy ratios in the samples analyzed in Figures 3-4 and S6. U4.4
- cells were infected with the indicated virus at a MOI of 0.1 for 72h. Error bars are the
- standard deviation of at least 2 independent experiments. ns, non-significant by one-way
- 606 ANOVA and Fisher's LSD test.
- 607

608 Supplementary figure 4. Comparison of viral small RNA profiles of ancestral SLEV-Pal 609 with the pandemic SLEV MSL 7

609 with the pandemic SLEV-MSI-7.

Small RNA profile (A), distribution of vsiRNAs (B) and vpiRNAs (C) over the genome of SLEV-Pal comparison to SLEV-MSI-7 (data from Figures 3-4 and S6) showed no major differences between the ancestral and pandemic strains. U4.4 cells were infected with the indicated virus at a MOI of 0.1 for 72h. The results are the average of two experiments. Error bars are the standard deviation between replicates for each individual nucleotide. Positivesense RNAs are shown in red, negative-sense RNAs in blue.

616

617 Supplementary figure 5. Sequence logos of flavivirus vpiRNAs

Sequence logos of flavivirus derived 25-30 nt vpiRNAs. The 1U-bias characteristic of PIWI
protein-associated small RNAs is detectable for vpiRNAs of CFAV, KRV, DENV and
SLEV. 10A-bias on the positive strand characteristic of ping-pong piRNA amplification is

- 621 detectable for vpiRNAs of CFAV, KRV, DENV.
- 622

623 Supplementary figure 6. Viral piRNA profiles of flavivirus infected U4.4 cells

624	(A) Distribution of flavivirus derived 25-30 nt vpiRNAs on the genome of each virus in reads
625	per million (RPM) from U4.4 cells infected for 72h at a MOI of 0.1. (B) Percentage of
626	vpiRNAs mapping to the 3' UTR compared to the entire gRNA for the indicated flaviviruses.
627	(C) Percentage of vpiRNAs mapping to the indicated regions compared to the whole gRNA
628	and (D) average density of vpiRNAs per nucleotide in different regions of the genome of
629	CFAV (left) or KRV (right). The size of each region is indicated in italic as a percentage of
630	the gRNA size. In (C) and (D), only (+) vpiRNAs are shown. (A-D) The results are the
631	average of two experiments for all flaviviruses, except for CxFV ($n=1$) and KRV ($n=3$). Error
632	bars are the standard deviation between replicates. Positive-strand RNAs are shown in red,
633	negative-strand RNA in blue.
634	
635	Supplementary figure 7. Uncropped northern blot images
636	
637	Supplementary table 1. List of viruses used in pan-flavivirus small RNA analysis
638	
639	Supplementary table 2. List of oligonucleotides for northern blots, qPCR and cloning
640	
641	Supplementary table 3. List of genome references used for 3'UTR analysis

643 REFERENCES

644	1.	Saiyasombat R, Bolling BG, Brault AC, Bartholomay LC, Blitvich BJ. 2011. Evidence of
645		Efficient Transovarial Transmission of Culex Flavivirus by Culex pipiens (Diptera:
646		Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 48:1031–1038.
647	2.	Frangeul L, Blanc H, Saleh M-C, Suzuki Y. 2020. Differential Small RNA Responses
648		against Co-Infecting Insect-Specific Viruses in Aedes albopictus Mosquitoes. Viruses
649		12:468.
650	3.	Blitvich B, Firth A. 2015. Insect-Specific Flaviviruses: A Systematic Review of Their
651		Discovery, Host Range, Mode of Transmission, Superinfection Exclusion Potential
652		and Genomic Organization. Viruses 7:1927–1959.
653	4.	Moureau G, Cook S, Lemey P, Nougairède A, Forrester NL, Khasnatinov M, Charrel
654		RN, Firth AE, Gould EA, de Lamballerie X. 2015. New Insights into Flavivirus
655		Evolution, Taxonomy and Biogeographic History, Extended by Analysis of Canonical
656		and Alternative Coding Sequences. PLoS One 10:e011784930.
657	5.	Hall RA, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, McLean BJ, O'Brien CA, Colmant AMG, Piyasena
658		TBH, Harrison JJ, Newton ND, Barnard RT, Prow NA, Deerain JM, Mah MGKY,
659		Hobson-Peters J. 2016. Commensal Viruses of Mosquitoes: Host Restriction,
660		Transmission, and Interaction with Arboviral Pathogens. Evolutionary Bioinformatics
661		1252:EBO.S40740.
662	6.	Pierson TC, Diamond MS. 2020. The continued threat of emerging flaviviruses.
663		Nature Microbiology 5:796–812.
664	7.	Porier DL, Wilson SN, Auguste DI, Leber A, Coutermarsh-Ott S, Allen IC, Caswell CC,
665		Budnick JA, Bassaganya-Riera J, Hontecillas R, Weger-Lucarelli J, Weaver SC,

666		Auguste AJ. 2021. Enemy of My Enemy: A Novel Insect-Specific Flavivirus Offers a
667		Promising Platform for a Zika Virus Vaccine. Vaccines (Basel) 9:1142.
668	8.	Hobson-Peters J, Harrison JJ, Watterson D, Hazlewood JE, Vet LJ, Newton ND,
669		Warrilow D, Colmant AMG, Taylor C, Huang B, Piyasena TBH, Chow WK, Setoh YX,
670		Tang B, Nakayama E, Yan K, Amarilla AA, Wheatley S, Moore PR, Finger M, Kurucz
671		N, Modhiran N, Young PR, Khromykh AA, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, Suhrbier A, Hall RA.
672		2019. A recombinant platform for flavivirus vaccines and diagnostics using chimeras
673		of a new insect-specific virus. Science Translational Medicine 11.
674	9.	Vet LJ, Setoh YX, Amarilla AA, Habarugira G, Suen WW, Newton ND, Harrison JJ,
675		Hobson-Peters J, Hall RA, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H. 2020. Protective Efficacy of a
676		Chimeric Insect-Specific Flavivirus Vaccine against West Nile Virus. Vaccines (Basel)
677		8:258.
678	10.	Varjak M, Donald CL, Mottram TJ, Sreenu VB, Merits A, Maringer K, Schnettler E,
679		Kohl A. 2017. Characterization of the Zika virus induced small RNA response in Aedes
680		aegypti cells. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases 11:e0006010.
681	11.	De Falco L, Silva NM, Santos NC, Huber RG, Martins IC. 2021. The Pseudo-Circular
682		Genomes of Flaviviruses: Structures, Mechanisms, and Functions of Circularization.
683		Cells 10:642.
684	12.	Mazeaud C, Freppel W, Chatel-Chaix L. 2018. The Multiples Fates of the Flavivirus
685		RNA Genome During Pathogenesis. Frontiers in Genetics 9.
686	13.	Fajardo T, Sanford TJ, Mears H V., Jasper A, Storrie S, Mansur DS, Sweeney TR.
687		2020. The flavivirus polymerase NS5 regulates translation of viral genomic RNA.
688		Nucleic Acids Research 48:5081–5093.

689	14.	Trettin KD, Sinha NK, Eckert DM, Apple SE, Bass BL. 2017. Loquacious-PD facilitates
690		Drosophila Dicer-2 cleavage through interactions with the helicase domain and
691		dsRNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114:E7939–E7948.
692	15.	Pijlman GP, Funk A, Kondratieva N, Leung J, Torres S, van der Aa L, Liu WJ,
693		Palmenberg AC, Shi PY, Hall RA, Khromykh AA. 2008. A Highly Structured,
694		Nuclease-Resistant, Noncoding RNA Produced by Flaviviruses Is Required for
695		Pathogenicity. Cell Host and Microbe 4:579–591.
696	16.	MacFadden A, O'Donoghue Z, Silva PAGCGC, Chapman EG, Olsthoorn RC, Sterken
697		MG, Pijlman GP, Bredenbeek PJ, Kieft JS. 2018. Mechanism and structural diversity
698		of exoribonuclease-resistant RNA structures in flaviviral RNAs. Nature
699		Communications 9:119.
700	17.	Slonchak A, Khromykh AA. 2018. Subgenomic flaviviral RNAs: What do we know
701		after the first decade of research. Antiviral Research
702		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2018.09.006.
703	18.	Vicens Q, Kieft JS. 2021. Shared properties and singularities of exoribonuclease-
704		resistant RNAs in viruses. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal
705		19:4373–4380.
706	19.	Kieft JS, Rabe JL, Chapman EG. 2015. New hypotheses derived from the structure of
707		a flaviviral Xrn1-resistant RNA: Conservation, folding, and host adaptation. RNA
708		Biology 12:1169–1177.
709	20.	Ochsenreiter R, Hofacker I, Wolfinger M. 2019. Functional RNA Structures in the
710		3 UTR of Tick-Borne, Insect-Specific and No-Known-Vector Flaviviruses. Viruses
711		11:298.

712	21.	Villordo SM, Filomatori C V, Sánchez-Vargas I, Blair CD, Gamarnik A V. 2015. Dengue
713		Virus RNA Structure Specialization Facilitates Host Adaptation. PLoS Pathogens
714		11:e100460422.
715	22.	Filomatori C V., Carballeda JM, Villordo SM, Aguirre S, Pallarés HM, Maestre AM,
716		Sánchez-Vargas I, Blair CD, Fabri C, Morales MA, Fernandez-Sesma A, Gamarnik A V.
717		2017. Dengue virus genomic variation associated with mosquito adaptation defines
718		the pattern of viral non-coding RNAs and fitness in human cells. PLOS Pathogens
719		13:e1006265.
720	23.	Funk A, Truong K, Nagasaki T, Torres S, Floden N, Balmori Melian E, Edmonds J,
721		Dong H, Shi PY, Khromykh AA. 2010. RNA structures required for production of
722		subgenomic flavivirus RNA. J Virol 84:11407–11417.
723	24.	Pallarés HM, Costa Navarro GS, Villordo SM, Merwaiss F, de Borba L, Gonzalez
724		Lopez Ledesma MM, Ojeda DS, Henrion-Lacritick A, Morales MA, Fabri C, Saleh MC,
725		Gamarnik A V. 2020. Zika Virus Subgenomic Flavivirus RNA Generation Requires
726		Cooperativity between Duplicated RNA Structures That Are Essential for Productive
727		Infection in Human Cells. Journal of Virology 94.
728	25.	Yeh S-C, Tan W-L, Chowdhury A, Chuo V, Kini RM, Pompon J, Garcia-Blanco MA.
729		2021. The anti-immune dengue subgenomic flaviviral RNA is found in vesicles in
730		mosquito saliva 2 and associated with increased infectivity. bioRxiv
731		https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.02.433543.
732	26.	Moon SL, Anderson JR, Kumagai Y, Wilusz CJ, Akira S, Khromykh AA, Wilusz J. 2012.
733		A noncoding RNA produced by arthropod-borne flaviviruses inhibits the cellular
734		exoribonuclease XRN1 and alters host mRNA stability. RNA 18:2029–2040.

735	27.	Slonchak A, Hugo LE, Freney ME, Hall-Mendelin S, Amarilla AA, Torres FJ, Setoh YX,
736		Peng NYG, Sng JDJ, Hall RA, van den Hurk AF, Devine GJ, Khromykh AA. 2020. Zika
737		virus noncoding RNA suppresses apoptosis and is required for virus transmission by
738		mosquitoes. Nature Communications 11:2205.
739	28.	Hussain M, Torres S, Schnettler E, Funk A, Grundhoff A, Pijlman GP, Khromykh AA,
740		Asgari S. 2012. West Nile virus encodes a microRNA-like small RNA in the 3'
741		untranslated region which up-regulates GATA4 mRNA and facilitates virus
742		replication in mosquito cells. Nucleic Acids Research 40:2210–2223.
743	29.	Pompon J, Manuel M, Ng GK, Wong B, Shan C, Manokaran G, Soto-Acosta R,
744		Bradrick SS, Ooi EE, Missé D, Shi PY, Garcia-Blanco MA. 2017. Dengue subgenomic
745		flaviviral RNA disrupts immunity in mosquito salivary glands to increase virus
746		transmission. PLoS Pathogens 13:e100653527.
747	30.	Göertz GP, Fros JJ, Miesen P, Vogels CBF, van der Bent ML, Geertsema C, Koenraadt
748		CJM, van Rij RP, van Oers MM, Pijlman GP. 2016. Noncoding Subgenomic Flavivirus
749		RNA Is Processed by the Mosquito RNA Interference Machinery and Determines
750		West Nile Virus Transmission by Culex pipiens Mosquitoes. J Virol 90:10145–10159.
751	31.	Moon SL, Dodd BJT, Brackney DE, Wilusz CJ, Ebel GD, Wilusz J. 2015. Flavivirus
752		sfRNA suppresses antiviral RNA interference in cultured cells and mosquitoes and
753		directly interacts with the RNAi machinery. Virology 485:322–329.
754	32.	Phoo WW, Li Y, Zhang Z, Lee MY, Loh YR, Tan YB, Ng EY, Lescar J, Kang C, Luo D.
755		2016. Structure of the NS2B-NS3 protease from Zika virus after self-cleavage. Nature
756		Communications 1–8.
757	33.	Schnettler E, Sterken MG, Leung JY, Metz SW, Geertsema C, Goldbach RW, Vlak JM,
758		Kohl A, Khromykh AA, Pijlman GP. 2012. Noncoding Flavivirus RNA Displays RNA

759		Interference Suppressor Activity in Insect and Mammalian Cells. Journal of Virology
760		86:13486–13500.
761	34.	Cirimotich CM, Scott JC, Phillips AT, Geiss BJ, Olson KE. 2009. Suppression of RNA
762		interference increases alphavirus replication and virus-associated mortality in Aedes
763		aegypti mosquitoes. BMC Microbiology 9:49.
764	35.	Bronkhorst AW, van Rij RP. 2014. The long and short of antiviral defense: small RNA-
765		based immunity in insects. Current Opinion in Virology 7:19—28.
766	36.	Marques JT, Imler J-L. 2016. The diversity of insect antiviral immunity: insights from
767		viruses. Current Opinion in Microbiology 32:71–76.
768	37.	Rosendo Machado S, van der Most T, Miesen P. 2021. Genetic determinants of
769		antiviral immunity in dipteran insects – Compiling the experimental evidence.
770		Developmental & Comparative Immunology 119:104010.
771	38.	Elrefaey AME, Hollinghurst P, Reitmayer CM, Alphey L, Maringer K. 2021. Innate
772		Immune Antagonism of Mosquito-Borne Flaviviruses in Humans and Mosquitoes.
773		Viruses 13:2116.
774	39.	Miesen P, Joosten J, van Rij RP. 2016. PIWIs Go Viral: Arbovirus-Derived piRNAs in
775		Vector Mosquitoes. PLOS Pathogens 12:e1006017.
776	40.	Blair CD. 2019. Deducing the Role of Virus Genome-Derived PIWI-Associated RNAs
777		in the Mosquito–Arbovirus Arms Race. Frontiers in Genetics 10.
778	41.	Miesen P, Ivens A, Buck AH, van Rij RP. 2016. Small RNA Profiling in Dengue Virus 2-
779		Infected Aedes Mosquito Cells Reveals Viral piRNAs and Novel Host miRNAs. PLOS
780		Neglected Tropical Diseases 10:e0004452.

781	42.	Miesen P, Girardi E, van Rij RP. 2015. Distinct sets of PIWI proteins produce arbovirus
782		and transposon-derived piRNAs in Aedes aegypti mosquito cells. Nucleic Acids
783		Research 43:6545–6556.
784	43.	Joosten J, Miesen P, Ta\c sköprü E, Pennings B, Jansen PWTC, Huynen MA,
785		Vermeulen M, van Rij RP. 2019. The Tudor protein Veneno assembles the ping-pong
786		amplification complex that produces viral piRNAs in Aedes mosquitoes. Nucleic
787		Acids Res 47:2546–2559.
788	44.	Varjak M, Donald CL, Mottram TJ, Sreenu VB, Merits A, Maringer K, Schnettler E,
789		Kohl A. 2017. Characterization of the Zika virus induced small RNA response in Aedes
790		aegypti cells. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 11:e0006010.
791	45.	Tassetto M, Kunitomi M, Whitfield ZJ, Dolan PT, Sánchez-Vargas I, Garcia-Knight M,
792		Ribiero I, Chen T, Olson KE, Andino R. 2019. Control of RNA viruses in mosquito cells
793		through the acquisition of vDNA and endogenous viral elements. Elife 8.
794	46.	Suzuki Y, Baidaliuk A, Miesen P, Frangeul L, Crist AB, Merkling SH, Fontaine A,
795		Lequime S, Moltini-Conclois I, Blanc H, van Rij RP, Lambrechts L, Saleh M-C. 2020.
796		Non-retroviral Endogenous Viral Element Limits Cognate Virus Replication in Aedes
797		aegypti Ovaries. Current Biology 30:3495-3506.e6.
798	47.	Palatini U, Miesen P, Carballar-Lejarazu R, Ometto L, Rizzo E, Tu Z, van Rij RP,
799		Bonizzoni M. 2017. Comparative genomics shows that viral integrations are
800		abundant and express piRNAs in the arboviral vectors Aedes aegypti and Aedes
801		albopictus. BMC Genomics 18:512.
802	48.	Crava CM, Varghese FS, Pischedda E, Halbach R, Palatini U, Marconcini M, Gasmi L,
803		Redmond S, Afrane Y, Ayala D, Paupy C, Carballar-Lejarazu R, Miesen P, Rij RP,
804		Bonizzoni M. 2021. Population genomics in the arboviral vector Aedes aegypti

805	reveals the genomic architecture	e and evolution of endogenous vira	l elements.
-----	----------------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------

- 806 Molecular Ecology 30:1594–1611.
- 49. Crabtree MB, Sang RC, Stollar V, Dunster LM, Miller BR. 2003. Genetic and
- 808 phenotypic characterization of the newly described insect flavivirus, Kamiti River
- virus. Archives of Virology 148:1095–1118.
- 810 50. MacFadden A, O'Donoghue Z, Silva PAGC, Chapman EG, Olsthoorn RC, Sterken MG,
- 811 Pijlman GP, Bredenbeek PJ, Kieft JS. 2018. Mechanism and structural diversity of
- 812 exoribonuclease-resistant RNA structures in flaviviral RNAs. Nature Communications
- 813 9:119.
- 814 51. Göertz GP, van Bree JWM, Hiralal A, Fernhout BM, Steffens C, Boeren S, Visser TM,
- 815 Vogels CBF, Abbo SR, Fros JJ, Koenraadt CJM, van Oers MM, Pijlman GP. 2019.
- 816 Subgenomic flavivirus RNA binds the mosquito DEAD/H-box helicase ME₃₁B and
- 817 determines Zika virus transmission by Aedes aegypti. Proceedings of the National
- 818 Academy of Sciences 116:19136–19144.
- 819 52. Gritsun DJ, Jones IM, Gould EA, Gritsun TS. 2014. Molecular archaeology of
- 820 Flaviviridae untranslated regions: duplicated RNA structures in the replication
- 821 enhancer of flaviviruses and pestiviruses emerged via convergent evolution. PLoS
 822 One 9:e92056.
- 53. Łabno A, Tomecki R, Dziembowski A. 2016. Cytoplasmic RNA decay pathways Enzymes and mechanisms. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Molecular Cell
 Research 1863:3125–3147.
- 826 54. Chen Y-S, Fan Y-H, Tien C-F, Yueh A, Chang R-Y. 2018. The conserved stem-loop II
- 827 structure at the 3' untranslated region of Japanese encephalitis virus genome is
- required for the formation of subgenomic flaviviral RNA. PLoS One 13:e0201250--17.

829	55.	Akiyama BM, Laurence HM, Massey AR, Costantino DA, Xie X, Yang Y, Shi PY, Nix
-----	-----	---

- JC, Beckham JD, Kieft JS. 2016. Zika virus produces noncoding RNAs using a multi-
- pseudoknot structure that confounds a cellular exonuclease. Science (1979)
- https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah3963.
- 833 56. Göertz GP, van Bree JWMM, Hiralal A, Fernhout BM, Steffens C, Boeren S, Visser
- TM, Vogels CBFF, Abbo SR, Fros JJ, Koenraadt CJMM, van Oers MM, Pijlman GP.
- 835 2019. Subgenomic flavivirus RNA binds the mosquito DEAD/H-box helicase ME31B
- and determines Zika virus transmission by Aedes aegypti. Proceedings of the
- 837 National Academy of Sciences 116:19136–19144.
- 838 57. Kopp A, Gillespie TR, Hobelsberger D, Estrada A, Harper JM, Miller RA, Eckerle I,
- 839 Müller MA, Podsiadlowski L, Leendertz FH, Drosten C, Junglen S. 2013. Provenance
- and Geographic Spread of St. Louis Encephalitis Virus. mBio 4.
- 58. Göertz G, Miesen P, Overheul G, van Rij R, van Oers M, Pijlman G. 2019. Mosquito
- 842 Small RNA Responses to West Nile and Insect-Specific Virus Infections in Aedes and
- 843 Culex Mosquito Cells. Viruses 11:271.
- 59. Saiyasombat R, Bolling BG, Brault AC, Bartholomay LC, Blitvich BJ. 2011. Evidence of
- 845 Efficient Transovarial Transmission of Culex Flavivirus by Culex pipiens (Diptera:
- 846 Culicidae). Journal of Medical Entomology 48:1031–1038.
- 847 6o. Rozen-Gagnon K, Gu M, Luna JM, Luo J-D, Yi S, Novack S, Jacobson E, Wang W, Paul
- 848 MR, Scheel TKH, Carroll T, Rice CM. 2021. Argonaute-CLIP delineates versatile,
- 849 functional RNAi networks in Aedes aegypti, a major vector of human viruses. Cell
- 850 Host & Microbe 29:834-848.e13.
- 851 61. Wang Y, Jin B, Liu P, Li J, Chen X, Gu J. 2018. piRNA Profiling of Dengue Virus Type
- 2-Infected Asian Tiger Mosquito and Midgut Tissues. Viruses 10:213.

853	62.	Bolling B, Weaver S, Tesh R, Vasilakis N. 2015. Insect-Specific Virus Discovery:
854		Significance for the Arbovirus Community. Viruses 7:4911-4928.
855	63.	Qiu Y, Xu Y-P, Wang M, Miao M, Zhou H, Xu J, Kong J, Zheng D, Li R-T, Zhang R-R,
856		Guo Y, Li X-F, Cui J, Qin C-F, Zhou X. 2020. Flavivirus induces and antagonizes
857		antiviral RNA interference in both mammals and mosquitoes. Science Advances 6.
858	64.	Blair C, Olson K. 2015. The Role of RNA Interference (RNAi) in Arbovirus-Vector
859		Interactions. Viruses 7:820–843.
860	65.	Lee W-S, Webster JA, Madzokere ET, Stephenson EB, Herrero LJ. 2019. Mosquito
861		antiviral defense mechanisms: a delicate balance between innate immunity and
862		persistent viral infection. Parasites & Vectors 12:165.
863	66.	Colmant AMG, Hobson-Peters J, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, van den Hurk AF, Hall-
864		Mendelin S, Chow WK, Johansen CA, Fros J, Simmonds P, Watterson D, Cazier C,
865		Etebari K, Asgari S, Schulz BL, Beebe N, Vet LJ, Piyasena TBH, Nguyen H-D, Barnard
866		RT, Hall RA. 2017. A New Clade of Insect-Specific Flaviviruses from Australian
867		Anopheles Mosquitoes Displays Species-Specific Host Restriction. mSphere 2.
868	67.	Rückert C, Prasad AN, Garcia-Luna SM, Robison A, Grubaugh ND, Weger-Lucarelli J,
869		Ebel GD. 2019. Small RNA responses of Culex mosquitoes and cell lines during acute
870		and persistent virus infection. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 109:13–23.
871	68.	Fan Y-H, Nadar M, Chen C-C, Weng C-C, Lin Y-T, Chang R-Y. 2011. Small Noncoding
872		RNA Modulates Japanese Encephalitis Virus Replication and Translation in trans.
873		Virol J 8:492.
874	69.	Alvarez DE, Lodeiro MF, Ludueña SJ, Pietrasanta LI, Gamarnik A V. 2005. Long-
875		Range RNA-RNA Interactions Circularize the Dengue Virus Genome. Journal of
876		Virology 79:6631–6643.

Roby J, Pijlman G, Wilusz J, Khromykh A. 2014. Noncoding Subgenomic Flavivirus

878		RNA: Multiple Functions in West Nile Virus Pathogenesis and Modulation of Host
879		Responses. Viruses 6:404–427.
880	71.	Firth AE, Blitvich BJ, Wills NM, Miller CL, Atkins JF. 2010. Evidence for ribosomal
881		frameshifting and a novel overlapping gene in the genomes of insect-specific
882		flaviviruses. Virology 399:153–166.
883	72.	Pasternak AO, Spaan WJM, Snijder EJ. 2006. Nidovirus transcription: how to make
884		sense? Journal of General Virology 87:1403–1421.
885	73·	Sztuba-Solińska J, Stollar V, Bujarski JJ. 2011. Subgenomic messenger RNAs:
886		Mastering regulation of (+)-strand RNA virus life cycle. Virology 412:245–255.
887	74.	Slonchak A, Khromykh AA. 2018. Subgenomic flaviviral RNAs: What do we know
888		after the first decade of research. Antiviral Research 159:13–25.
889	75·	Jiwan SD, White KA. 2011. Subgenomic mRNA transcription in Tombusviridae. RNA
890		Biology 8:287–294.
891	76.	Hertz JM, Huang H V. 1992. Utilization of heterologous alphavirus junction
892		sequences as promoters by Sindbis virus. Journal of Virology 66:857–864.
893	77·	Halbach R, Junglen S, van Rij RP. 2017. Mosquito-specific and mosquito-borne
894		viruses: evolution, infection, and host defense. Current Opinion in Insect Science
895		22:16–27.
896	78.	Lutomiah JJL, Mwandawiro C, Magambo J, Sang RC. 2007. Infection and Vertical
897		Transmission of Kamiti River Virus in Laboratory Bred Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes.

898Journal of Insect Science 7:1–7.

877

70.

899	79·	Clarke DK, Duarte EA, Elena SF, Moya A, Domingo E, Holland J. 1994. The red queen
900		reigns in the kingdom of RNA viruses. Proceedings of the National Academy of
901		Sciences 91:4821–4824.
902	80.	Junglen S, Kopp A, Kurth A, Pauli G, Ellerbrok H, Leendertz FH. 2009. A New
903		Flavivirus and a New Vector: Characterization of a Novel Flavivirus Isolated from
904		Uranotaenia Mosquitoes from a Tropical Rain Forest. Journal of Virology 83:4462–
905		4468.
906	81.	Bassett AR, Tibbit C, Ponting CP, Liu J-L. 2014. Mutagenesis and homologous
907		recombination in Drosophila cell lines using CRISPR/Cas9. Biology Open 3:42–49.
908	82.	Afgan E, Baker D, Batut B, van den Beek M, Bouvier D, Čech M, Chilton J, Clements
909		D, Coraor N, Grüning BA, Guerler A, Hillman-Jackson J, Hiltemann S, Jalili V, Rasche
910		H, Soranzo N, Goecks J, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A, Blankenberg D. 2018. The Galaxy
911		platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018
912		update. Nucleic Acids Research 46:W537–W544.
913	83.	de Borba L, Villordo SM, Marsico FL, Carballeda JM, Filomatori C V., Gebhard LG,
914		Pallarés HM, Lequime S, Lambrechts L, Sánchez Vargas I, Blair CD, Gamarnik A V.
915		2019. RNA Structure Duplication in the Dengue Virus 3 UTR: Redundancy or Host
916		Specificity? mBio 10.
917	84.	Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Höner zu Siederdissen C, Tafer H, Flamm C, Stadler PF,
918		Hofacker IL. 2011. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. Algorithms for Molecular Biology 6:26.
919	85.	Will S, Reiche K, Hofacker IL, Stadler PF, Backofen R. 2007. Inferring Noncoding RNA
920		Families and Classes by Means of Genome-Scale Structure-Based Clustering. PLoS
921		Computational Biology 3:e65.

922	86.	Bernhart SH, Hofacker IL	, Will S,	Gruber AR, Stadler	PF. 2008.	RNAalifold: improved
-----	-----	--------------------------	-----------	--------------------	-----------	----------------------

- 923 consensus structure prediction for RNA alignments. BMC Bioinformatics 9:474.
- 924 87. Darty K, Denise A, Ponty Y. 2009. VARNA: Interactive drawing and editing of the
- 925 RNA secondary structure. Bioinformatics
- 926 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp250.
- 927 88. Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version
- 928 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution
- 929 30:772–780.
- 930 89. Criscuolo A, Gribaldo S. 2010. BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with Entropy): a
- 931 new software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions from multiple

932 sequence alignments. BMC Evolutionary Biology 10:210.

933 90. Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New

934 Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing

the Performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biology 59:307–321.

- 936 91. Lemoine F, Correia D, Lefort V, Doppelt-Azeroual O, Mareuil F, Cohen-Boulakia S,
- 937 Gascuel O. 2019. NGPhylogeny.fr: new generation phylogenetic services for non-
- 938 specialists. Nucleic Acids Research 47:W260–W265.
- 939 92. Letunic I, Bork P. 2021. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for
- 940 phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Research 49:W293–W296.
- 941 93. Brown NP, Leroy C, Sander C. 1998. MView: a web-compatible database search or
- 942 multiple alignment viewer. Bioinformatics 14:380–381.
- 943 94. Nicholson J, Ritchie SA, Van Den Hurk AF. 2014. Aedes albopictus (Diptera:

944 Culicidae) as a Potential Vector of Endemic and Exotic Arboviruses in Australia.

Journal of Medical Entomology 51:661–669.



bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/202 04478; this version posted August 20, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint

1





(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



bioRxiv preprint doi: htt





















(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.18.504478: this version posted August 20, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint

(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.









(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Virus	Strain/Isolate	Start CDS	End CDS	3'UTR start	End	Reference
CFAV	RioPiedras	104	10129	10127	10682	<u>NC 001564.2</u>
CxFV	Uganda08	92	10183	10181	10837	<u>NC_008604.2</u>
DENV2	16881	97	10272	10270	10723	<u>NC_001474.2</u>
KRV	SR-75	97	10170	10168	11375	<u>NC_005064.1</u>
NOUV	B3	80	10408	10406	10755	<u>NC 033715.1</u>
SLEV	Palenque	99	10391	10389	10938	<u>JQ957869.1</u>
SLEV	MSI-7	99	10391	10389	10939	MSI-7(incomplete): DQ359217.1; Hubbard (closest complete): EU566860.1, Refseq: NC_007580.2
WNV	NY99	97	10398	10396	11029	<u>NC 009942.1</u>
ZIKV	H/PF/2013	108	10379	10377	10807	<u>KJ776791.2</u>

Supplementary table 1. List of viruses used in pan-flavivirus small RNA analysis

Use	Virus	Probe sequence	Position	Notes
	KRV (+)RNA			
		CAGGGGACTCGGGGGAGCGGGT	10392, 10988	-
s		GAGCGGGTGCGTCASGCCCGACAC	10972	-
robe		TATCTTTTCTATACCATARATGC	11350	-
Northern Blot Pro	KRV (-)RNA	ACCCGCTCCCCGAGTCCCCTG	10392, 10988	-
		CTGGTTCTCGCAACTCCAGTCGAA	10599	-
		GTGTCGGGCSTGACGCACCCGCTC	10972	-
		TATCTTTTCTATACCATARATGC	11350	-
	CFAV	TGCCCGACATTTTCATGCCCTGCT	10188	-
	(+)KNA	GCCCGACACCAATGTGCCCTGCTC	10273	-
		CGCATCTATGGTATAGAAAAGATA	10671	-
		TGTGGCTGACTAGCAGGCCTGACA	10396	-
	(+)KNA	TGCCATGGCGTTCTCGGCCTGACT	10480	-
		AGACCCATGGATTTCCCCACACCG	10784	-
	KRV gRNA For	GGTCAATGAGACCGAACGA	7602	-
	KRV gRNA Rev	GTGTATCCATACACAGACGAC	7758	-
	KRV cifRNA For	AATGTGGCAGTCTTATTGGTTC	10001	-
	KRV cifRNA Rev	AATGACGTCATTCCCCTTCC	10148	-
	KRV sfRNA1 For	GGTGACCTGTCTCATACATG	10503	-
mers	KRV sfRNA1 Rev	ACATTGCTGATCCTTGTTCC	10637	-
R pri	KRV sfRNA2 For	GTCATAGGCACCTGACCTG	11073	-
qPC	KRV sfRNA2 Rev	TCCGTCCGGTTTTGAAAGC	11232	-
	Aalb pacman KO For	GCAACTCGGGCCGAACAAAC	1321	Sanger sequencing of
	Aalb pacman KO Rev	CTGATCGCGATAAATTGCCG	1975	Cas9 edited region
	Aalb pacman Set1 For	GGGCTACCAGGACTATAATG	1299	AALFPA- 065179 /
	Aalb pacman Set1 Rev	TCCCGTTGAGATCGGTTTCG	1639	057530 / 079140
	Aalb pacman Set2 For	CAGAGGAAGCACGAGTTGGT	3568	AALFPA- 052256 /

Supplementary table 2. List of oligonucleotides for northern blots, qPCR and cloning

	Aalb pacman Set2 Rev	ATGTGGACGGCACTTGTGAT	3802	057530 / 079140
	Aalb pacman Set3 For	GCGCTGAAAACTCTGAAGCC	2431	AALFPA-
	Aalb pacman Set3 Rev	CCAGATTGGGTTCCTCGTGT	2602	079140
	RPL5 For	TCGCTTACGCCCGCATTGAGGGTGAT	-	Housekeeping
	RPL5 Rev	TCGCCGGTCACATCGGTACAGCCA	-	gene
	WNV NS5 For	TGAAGAGCCCCAACTAGTGC	8010	
	WNV NS5 Rev	TTCAAGGACCCGAATCGTCC	8159	-
	SLEV-MSI7 NS5 For	GAGAGAAGGGCGTCTCACAG	7802	
S	SLEV-MSI7 NS5 Rev	GAACATGCTTCAGGGTTGCG	7943	-
nalysi	SLEV-Pal NS5 For	CACGTCCAAGAGGTGAAGGG	7956	_
PCR a	SLEV-Pal NS5 Rev	TCACAGCTCGGGTTTGACTC	8115	_
for qI	DENV2 NS5 For	GTAGTGGACCTCGGTTGTGG	7798	_
ces as standards i qPCR primers	DENV2 NS5 Rev	GTGTCACACTTTTCTGGCGG	7975	_
	ZIKV NS3 For	GAGAGAGTCATTCTGGCTGGA	5925	
	ZIKV NS3 Rev	TCCCTCAATGGCTGCTACTT	6154	-
equenation	NOUV NS5 For	AAGCCTACACGAAAGGAGGC	7992	
iral se	NOUV NS5 Rev	ACGACTCCCCAATGTCACAC	8122	-
g of v	CxFV NS1 For	GATCCGGAGGGTTTGTGTGG	2265	
Clonin	CxFV NS1 Rev	GCATTGTAGGACATCCTCAC	2400	-
	CFAV NS1 For	GCAGCGGCGCTTTTGTGTGG	2265	
	CFAV NS1 Rev	GCACTGCAAGGCATCCTCAC	2400	-
	KRV NS5 For	ATCCACAGCTGTAGGCCTTG	7515	_
	KRV NS5 Rev	CAACCCGTCCGTTTGGTTTC	7680	
ч	cifRNA For	CGACTCTAGAGGATCC- AACCAATAAGACTGCCACATTC	1126	-
-en ing	cifRNA Rev	ATTCGAGCTCGGTACC-GCAATGCACTCCTGAGTAG	10000	
nd-tc ienci	sfRNA1 For	CGACTCTAGAGGATCC-GTATGAGACAGGTCACCACT	1126	
V er sequ	sfRNA1 Rev	ATTCGAGCTCGGTACC-GCAATGCACTCCTGAGTAG	10500	-
for	sfRNA2 For	CGACTCTAGAGGATCC-TAAGGCGCCACTCTTATCC	1126	_
lg of ions	sfRNA2 Rev	ATTCGAGCTCGGTACC-GCAATGCACTCCTGAGTAG	11092	-
Clonin ligat	sfRNA1' and 2' For	CGACTCTAGAGGATCC- ATGGCGTTTTCAATGAGATAGG	1126	_
	sfRNA1' and 2' Rev	ATTCGAGCTCGGTACC-GCAATGCACTCCTGAGTAG	10933	

	Amp till tracrRNA (XbaI) For	TCCTTCGGTCCTCCGATCGTTG		In Engine #1
	Amp till tracrRNA (XbaI) Rev	TGCTTTTTTTCTAGAAGATCTGGAAAAATGATGTG	-	In-Fusion #1
iting	tracrRNA till Aalb pU6 (XbaI) For	TCTAGAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTG		In English #2
gene edi	tracrRNA till Aalb pU6 (XbaI) Rev	GACGGCAATGAAATGGAAGAGCGAGCTCTTCC	-	In-Fusion #2
PR/Cas9	Aalb pU6-2 till pAc (XbaI) For	CATTTCATTGCCGTCGCTTC		La Eusien #2
NA for CRISI	Aalb pU6-2 till pAc (XbaI) Rev	CGAGATCTGTCTAGACTCAGCTCGAGTGTGGTCTTAG	-	m-i usion #5
	pAc Fw (XbaI) For	TCTAGACAGATCTCGCTGCCTGTTATG		In Engine #4
uide R	pAc Fw (XbaI) Rev	GCCAAGAATGGAGCGATCGC	-	In-Fusion #4
Cloning of gu	Aalb pacman guide #2.1 For	AATGTGGTGTCCCATCCTGGGCT		-
	Aalb pacman guide #2.1 Rev	AACAGCCCAGGATGGGACACCAC	-	
	Aalb pacman guide #3.1 For	AATGCGTGTGGGTAAGCACTGGGC		
	Aalb pacman guide #3.1 Rev	AACGCCCAGTGCTTACCACACGC	-	-

RefSeq	Clade	Full name	Abbreviation	5'UTR size (nt)	CDS size (nt)	3'UTR size (nt)
NC_012932.1	ISFV	Aedes flavivirus	AEFV	96	10026	942
NC_001564.2	ISFV	Cell fusing agent virus	CFAV	103	10026	553
NC_008604.2	ISFV	Culex flavivirus	CxFV	91	10092	654
NC_005064.1	ISFV	Kamiti River virus	KRV	96	10074	1208
NC_027819.1	ISFV	Mercadeo virus	MECDV	88	10212	638
NC_021069.1	ISFV	Mosquito flavivirus	MSFV	111	10080	674
NC_027817.1	ISFV	Parramatta River virus	PaRV	109	10155	629
NC_012671.1	ISFV	Quang Binh virus	QBV	112	10080	673
NC_017086.1	ISFV	Chaoyang virus	CHAOV	99	10308	326
NC_016997.1	ISFV	Donggang virus	DONV	113	10335	343
NC_027999.1	ISFV	Paraiso Escondido virus	EPEV	119	10326	316
NC_040610.1	ISFV	Nanay virus	NANV	106	10299	399
NC_034017.1	ISFV	Xishuangbanna aedes flavivirus	XFV	90	10245	549
NC_009026.2	MBFV	Aroa virus	AROAV	104	10290	421
NC_001477.1	MBFV	Dengue virus 1	DENV1	94	10179	462
NC_001474.2	MBFV	Dengue virus 2	DENV2	96	10176	451
NC_001475.2	MBFV	Dengue virus 3	DENV3	94	10173	440
NC_002640.1	MBFV	Dengue virus 4	DENV4	101	10164	384
NC_012533.1	MBFV	Kedougou virus	KEDV	106	10227	390
NC_001437.1	MBFV	Japanese encephalitis virus	JEV	95	10299	582
NC_000943.1	MBFV	Murray Valley encephalitis virus	MVEV	95	10305	614
NC_007580.2	MBFV	Saint Louis encephalitis virus	SLEV	98	10293	549
NC_009942.1	MBFV	West Nile virus	WNV lin.1	96	10302	631
NC_001563.2	MBFV	West Nile virus	WNV lin.2	96	10293	573
NC_009029.2	MBFV	Kokobera virus	KOKV	83	10233	558
NC_012534.1	MBFV	Bagaza virus	BAGV	94	10281	566
NC_009028.2	MBFV	Ilheus virus	ILHV	92	10275	388
NC_040776.1	MBFV	Rocio virus	ROCV	92	10278	424
NC_034151.1	MBFV	T'Ho virus	THOV	97	10284	556
NC_035889.1	MBFV	Zika virus	ZIKV/2015	107	10272	429
NC_012532.1	MBFV	Zika virus	ZIKV/1947	106	10260	428
NC_008719.1	MBFV	Sepik virus	SEPV	116	10218	459
NC_012735.1	MBFV	Wesselsbron virus	WESSV	118	10218	478
NC_002031.1	MBFV	Yellow fever virus 17D	YFV17D	118	10236	508
NC 0050391	NKV	Yokose virus	YOKV	150	10278	429

MODV

MMLV

LGTV

109

108

130

10125

10125

10245

366

460

568

NC_003635.1

NC_004119.1

NC_003690.1

NKV

NKV

TBFV

Modoc virus

Montana myotis

leukoencephalitis virus

Langat virus

Supplementary table 3. List of genome references used for 3'UTR analysis

NC_001809.1	TBFV	Louping ill virus	LIV	129	10245	500
NC_005062.1	TBFV	Omsk hemorrhagic fever virus	OHFV	132	10245	410
NC_003687.1	TBFV	Powassan virus	POWV	111	10248	480
NC_027709.1	TBFV	Spanish goat encephalitis virus	SGEV	132	10245	493
NC_001672.1	TBFV	Tick-borne encephalitis virus	TBEV	132	10245	764
					Median	493